

BUSINESS SUSTAINABILITY PRACTICE
(BSP) PROFILE ASSESSMENT IN MALAYSIA
ECONOMIC SECTORS USING K-MEAN
CLUSTERING APPROACH

NUR SOLIHA BINTI SAHIMI

MASTER OF SCIENCE

UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG



SUPERVISOR'S DECLARATION

I hereby declare that I have checked this thesis and in my opinion, this thesis is adequate in terms of scope and quality for the award of the degree of Master of Science.

(Supervisor's Signature)

Full Name : ASSC. PROF. IR. DR. FAIZ BIN MOHD TURAN

Position : ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR

Date :



STUDENT'S DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the work in this thesis is based on my original work except for quotations and citations which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted for any other degree at Universiti Malaysia Pahang or any other institutions.

(Student's Signature)

Full Name : NUR SOLIHA BINTI SAHIMI

ID Number : MMF16008

Date :

**BUSINESS SUSTAINABILITY PRACTICE (BSP) PROFILE ASSESSMENT IN
MALAYSIA ECONOMIC SECTORS USING K-MEAN CLUSTERING
APPROACH**

NUR SOLIHA BINTI SAHIMI

Thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirements
for the award of the degree of
Master of Science

Faculty of Manufacturing and Mechatronic Engineering Technology
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG

FEBRUARY 2020

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First, alhamdulillah, thankful and grateful to Allah SWT that still give me opportunity and chances for me to live the life and gain a little knowledge on this research. Knowledge in this research study is just a small part knowledge rather than Allah's knowledge. In this respect, all wisdom and perfection is only from Allah swt and not from human weakness and shortcomings. By completing this research, the strong support, determination and guidance from various individuals is indispensable as a spirit of strength to move forward even through ups and down to complete this research study and this thesis as well. The longest time I spend for this research worth even every time fight with myself for not giving up and keep momentum for completing this research.

Special thanks to my dedicated supervisor, Prof Dr. Ir. Faiz Bin Turan and my intelligent co-supervisor Madam Kartina Johan for being my push factor to complete this research. They put a lot of commitment to guide and give the motivation to me from the beginning till the end of the research. Without them, this research study cannot be complete and ISMas Lab cannot be strong stand till now. Then, to all lecturers and staffs of Faculty of Manufacturing Engineering (FKP), Universiti Malaysia Pahang for their encouragement and give support to complete this research with deep thankful. I will appreciate every single things and moment that I gained from here as a part of learning and preparation for my future life.

Finally, the endless thank to my lovely family especially to my father and mother, Sahimi Bin Othman, Normah Binti Muhtaram, Abang Yan and family, Kak Rina and family, Kak Shafa and family, Abang Shafiq and family, Syakir, Syaza, Shima and Sanah for their continuous support in every single aspect such motivation, money, du'a and so on from beginning until end of this research. Also, thank you to my fellow housemate and friends for being a great friend and indirectly contributing for this research in many aspect. To those directly and indirectly contributing to this research, may Allah bless and grant us Jannah. Amin.

ABSTRAK

Dalam tahun-tahun kebelakangan ini, planet kita menghadapi cabaran sosial, alam sekitar dan ekonomi yang besar. Sementara itu, hanya 40% syarikat yang berdaftar di Bursa Malaysia membenamkan konsep kemapanan dalam keseluruhan projek atau perniagaan mereka kerana kurang penyertaan dan kesedaran mengenai kemampanan seperti yang dilaporkan dalam Demokrasi Indeks Alam Sekitar (EDI), Indeks Pembangunan Berkelanjutan (SDI) dan Indeks Perkembangan Manusia (HDI). Oleh itu, tiada kelebihan persaingan di kalangan Sektor Ekonomi Malaysia telah dicapai. Di Malaysia, terdapat hanya beberapa kajian akademik atau laporan perniagaan yang membawakan bagaimana beberapa syarikat yang menggabungkan kelestarian dalam perniagaan mereka. Untuk mengatasi masalah ini dan mencapai amalan kemampanan yang lebih baik, teknik integrasi Amalan Keberlanjutan Perniagaan (BSP) dicadangkan untuk menilai prestasi BSP dengan menggunakan pendekatan K-Mean clustering dan pengesahan keputusan menggunakan kaedah Pakar Elicitasi. Dari percubaan ini, jurang antara elemen amalan pengetahuan dan kesedaran ditingkatkan melalui panduan yang betul, dan teknik ini diakui oleh para pakar. Dari kajian ini terdapat dua hasil utama yang merupakan perkembangan BSP bersepadu yang mengaitkan persepsi (sikap) dan pengetahuan melalui pemetaan antara Matlamat Pembangunan Kemampanan (SDG) dan Pengurusan Projek Hijau (GPM); kedua, pengklasifikasian dan profil sektor terhadap amalan kemampanan yang ditunjukkan dengan mantap dalam kesedaran tetapi terhad kepada pengetahuan tentang kemampanan. Ianya berada dikelompok yang terletak di kuadran pertama dan kedua. Kemudian prestasi BSP yang terbaik adalah sektor perlombongan dan kuari yang pada keadaan mantap dalam amalan mapan iaitu gabungan antara atribusi planet yang terdapat sub-atribusi pengangkutan, tenaga, air, sisa, dan bahan dan perolehan. Sebaliknya, pertanian menunjukkan trend yang tidak menentu untuk setiap atribusi berbanding sektor-sektor lain. Maklum balas bersama telah dipersetujui dengan sistem bersepadu untuk Amalan Keberlanjutan Perniagaan (BSP) yang didapati dalam penemuan pertama sebagai penyelesaian untuk prestasi ekonomi Malaysia dan profil BSP untuk penemuan kedua, di mana 100% maklum balas bersetuju dengan kedua-dua penemuan penyelidikan yang dilaksanakan. Maklum balas daripada pakar adalah penemuan penyelidikan ini adalah salah satu inisiatif yang baik dengan yang demikian akan digunakan dalam aplikasi yang lebih luas untuk mempromosikan kelebihan persaingan antara sektor ekonomi di Malaysia. Sekarang, sudah tiba masanya untuk setiap perniagaan di setiap sektor dan industri di Malaysia mengambil tindakan serius yang akan meningkatkan kesedaran dan meningkatkan kedudukan indeks Malaysia menjadi diantara negara maju pada masa akan datang.

ABSTRACT

In recent years, our planet faces massive social, environment and economical challenges. Meanwhile, only 40% of the companies registered in Bursa Malaysia embed a sustainability concept in their whole projects or businesses due to lack of participation and awareness on sustainability as reported in the Environmental Democracy Index (EDI), Sustainable Development Index (SDI) and Human Development Index (HDI). Therefore, no competitive edge among Malaysia Economic Sectors has been achieved. In Malaysia, there were only several academic studies or business reports presenting how a few companies that incorporate sustainability in their businesses nature. In order to combat these issue and achieve a better sustainability practices, an integration technique of the Business Sustainability Practice (BSP) was proposed to assess the BSP performance by using the K-Mean clustering approach and result validation using the Expert Elicitation method. From this attempts, the gap between the practice elements of knowledge and consciousness is improved through proper guidance, and this technique is acknowledged by the experts. From this research there are two main results which are the development of an integrated BSP which associate the perceptual (attitudinal) and knowledge through mapping between Sustainability Development Goals (SDG) and Green Project Management (GPM); secondly, the clustering and sector profiling on sustainability practice shown steadfast in consciousness but limited in knowledge of sustainability. Most of the clusters were located at the first and second quadrant. Then the best BSP performance is mining and quarrying's sector which at steady state in sustainable practice with fully incorporated at planet's attribution which are transport, energy, water, waste, and material and procurement. In contrast, the agriculture shows volatile trend for every attribution rather than other economic sectors. The collective feedback was agreed with the integrated system for Business Sustainability Practice (BSP) as found in the first finding as the solution for the Malaysian economic performance and the BSP profile for the second finding, where 100% of feedback agreed with both research findings to be implement later on. The feedback from the expert is this research finding is one of the good imitative thus will use in wider application to promote the competitive edge among Malaysia economic sectors in Malaysia. Now, it is time for every business in every sector and industry in Malaysia to take a serious action that will improve awareness and enhance Malaysia's index rank to be among developed countries in the future.

TABLE OF CONTENT

DECLARATION	
TITLE PAGE	
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	ii
ABSTRAK	iii
ABSTRACT	iv
TABLE OF CONTENT	v
LIST OF TABLES	viii
LIST OF FIGURES	ix
LIST OF SYMBOLS	xi
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xii
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background of study	1
1.2 Problem Statement	3
1.3 Significance of Study	4
1.4 Hypothesis	5
1.5 Research Objectives	5
1.6 Research Scope	5
1.7 Thesis Overview	6
CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW	7
2.1 Introduction	7
2.2 Background of Sustainability	7

2.2.1	Criteria and pillars of sustainability	8
2.3	Sustainable Development	9
2.3.1	Human Development Index	11
2.4	Sustainable Economic Development	13
2.5	United Nation Sustainability Drivers	15
2.5.1	Sustainable Development Goal (SDG) and 2030 Agenda	15
2.5.2	Green Project Management (GPM) P5	21
2.6	Malaysia Sustainability Drivers	24
2.6.1	Bursa Malaysia Berhad	25
2.6.2	Eleventh Malaysia Plan	26
2.6.3	Ministry of Energy, Science, Technology, Environment & Climate Change, Malaysia (MESTECC)	27
2.6.4	Economic Sector under Gross Domestic Product (GDP)	28
2.7	Sustainable Business Practice	32
2.8	Theoretical Framework of BSP Performance	35
2.8.1	Elements in practices: knowledge and attitudinal parameter	38
2.9	Review on clustering approach	40
2.10	Review on validation process.	43
2.11	Summary	45
CHAPTER 3 METHODOLOGY		46
3.1	Introduction	46
3.2	Phase One: Integration	48
3.2.1	Sample Preparation Step One: Mapping Linkage of SDG with Green Project Management	48
3.2.2	Step Two: Substitute Attitudinal Parameter	49
3.2.3	Step Three: Design the Survey	50

3.2.4	Step Four: Pilot run	52
3.3	Phase Two: Clustering	53
3.3.1	Step Five: Survey Distribution	54
3.3.2	Step Six: Data collection	54
3.3.3	Step Seven: Analyses of data	56
3.3.4	Step Eight: Data processing and representation	58
3.3.5	Step Nine: Result validation	65
3.4	Summary	66
CHAPTER 4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION		68
4.1	Introduction	68
4.2	Introduction of Integration technique of Business Sustainability Practice (BSP) in Malaysia context	68
4.3	Sector profiling based on consciousness and knowledge level	70
4.3.1	BSP Performance based on economic sector	75
4.4	Validation on the BSP Performance	79
4.4.1	Expert Elicitation Method	79
4.5	Summary	81
CHAPTER 5 CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK		82
5.1	Introduction	82
5.2	Conclusion	83
5.3	Recommendation	83
REFERENCES		85
APPENDICES		95

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1	The description on 17 sustainable development goals	16
Table 2.2	Twelve attributions and their sub attributions in GPM P5	23
Table 2.3	Summary of sustainability parameter used in previous research	36
Table 2.4	Several clustering method with the algorithm technique used	40
Table 2.5	Clustering approach with different technique at various field of study	41
Table 2.6	Review on validation process for previous research work.	43
Table 3.1	An attitudinal parameter	49
Table 3.2	An extended and modified attitude parameter	49
Table 3.3	The structure of survey formation	51
Table 3.4	The interpretation from the Likert scale to attitudinal response.	56
Table 4.1	The BSP Performance value for 5 main sector	77
Table 5.1	Communication categorizing	105
Table 5.2	Knowledge of categorizing	106

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1	Sustainability concept of Green Project Management (GPM) P5 from the Triple-P according to Elkington's theory	3
Figure 2.1	The Sustainability Concept of Triple-P	9
Figure 2.2	Principle behind the human development index	12
Figure 2.3	World map representing Human Development Index categories in 2017	12
Figure 2.4	The 17 goals of Sustainable Development Goals	16
Figure 2.5	The overall performance of Malaysia in SDG index and Dashboards Report 2018	20
Figure 2.6	Performance of 17 sustainable Development Goal (SDG) in 2018	21
Figure 2.7	An overview of the structure elements in GPM P5	23
Figure 2.8	An Integrated system of BSP Performance model	34
Figure 2.9	Theoretical Framework Model of BSP Performance	38
Figure 2.10	The illustration graph of an attitudinal theory	39
Figure 2.11	Seven step on expert elicitation method.	45
Figure 3.1	Overall Flowchart for Research Methodology	47
Figure 3.2	List of companies and bodies involved in a pilot run	53
Figure 3.3	List of companies and bodies involved in this research study	55
Figure 3.4	Example of first respondent on BSP's integrated worksheet	57
Figure 3.5	Average value of respondents for five sectors by normalization method.	58
Figure 3.6	The general step of k-mean clustering	60
Figure 3.7	The user interface in Minitab software	61
Figure 3.8	The numeric data as variables in the worksheet	62
Figure 3.9	The step to perform cluster k-mean in Minitab	62

Figure 3.10	The step to start the clustering analyses from the variable and cluster number.	63
Figure 3.11	The k-mean algorithm analysis stored in Minitab at session part	64
Figure 3.12	The steps that assist business contribution to the SDGs	66
Figure 4.1	An integrated system for Business Sustainability Practice (BSP)	69
Figure 4.2	The clustering of Bussiness Sustainability Practice (BSP) Performance	71
Figure 4.3	BSP performance based on the twelve attribution in sustainability	76
Figure 4.4	The involvement expert on sustainability knowledge and practices	79
Figure 4.5	The experience's year of the expert on different field	80
Figure 4.6	The judgment of expert on the economy condition in Malaysia	80
Figure 4.7	The expert acceptance on integrated system of BSP as solution to the current performance for first finding.	80
Figure 4.8	The expert acceptance on BSP profile to current level performance for second finding.	81
Figure 5.1	Population of the respondent in different state	104
Figure 5.2	Population of respondent in different economic sector	104
Figure 5.3	Population of respondent in their job designation	105
Figure 5.4	Population of respondent for sustainability in their Business	105
Figure 5.5	Population of respondent in different economic sector	106
Figure 5.6	Level of knowledege in sustainability business	106
Figure 5.7	Level of knowledege in sustainability business	107
Figure 5.8	Preferable in sustainability component/elements	107
Figure 5.9	Preferable in sub elemet of people	108
Figure 5.10	Preferable in sub elemet of planet	108
Figure 5.11	Preferable in sub elemet of profit	108

LIST OF SYMBOLS

λ	Attitudinal parameter
ϵ	Epsilon variance
R'	Normalized Value
R	Raw Data Value
R_{\max}	Maximum Raw Data Value
m	Average or Arimatic Mean
x_n	Maximum number of respondents
n	Number of respondent
k	Number of cluster
d_{ij}	Correlation distance
d_{mj}	Centroid linkage or distance matrix
$d(i, k)$	Euclidean distance
ρ_{ij}	Pearson product moment correlation between i and j
N_k, N_l, N_m	Number of observations in clusters k, l and m
d^2	Squared Euclidean Distance
r	Pearson Correlation
\bar{x}	Mean Value

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ADB	Asian Development Bank
BSP	Business Sustainability Practice
CAGR	Compound Annual Growth Rate
CSR	Corporate Social Responsibility
DOSM	Department Of Statistic Malaysia
EDI	Environment Development Index
EIA	Environmental Impact Assessment
EPSP	Economic Performance and Social Progress
ETP	Economic Transformation Programme
GDP	Gross Domestic Product
GPM	Green Project Management
HDI	Human Development Index
MDG	Millennium Development Goal
NGO	Non-Government Organization
NKEA	National Key Economic Area
OECD	Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development
PRINCE	Projects in Controlled Environment
PRISM	Project Integrating Sustainable Methods
SDG	Sustainable Development Goal
SDIs	Sustainability Development Indexes
UN	United Nation
WCED	World Commission on Environment and Development
WRI	World Resources Institute
WI	Work Instruction
SOP	Standard Operating Procedure
OPL	One Point Lesson
MIDA	Malaysian Investment Development Authority

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix A	Human Developement Index Performance	95
Appendix B	The Linking of Sdg and P5	96
Appendix C	The Structure of Worksheet in Bsp Formation	98
Appendix D	The Final Survey Question	100
Appendix E	The Data Respondents	104
Appendix F	The Validation Spreadsheet using Expert Elicitation Method	109
Appendix G	Pilot Run Survey Formation	114
Appendix H	List of Contribution	121

REFERENCES

- Ajzen, I. (1991). The theory of planned behavior. *Organizational behavior and human decision processes*, 50(2), 179-211.
- Ashford, C. (2018, October 6, 2018). The Business Case for Sustainability | Bursa Sustain. from <https://bursasustain.bursamalaysia.com/droplet-details/sustainability/the-business-case-for-sustainability>
- Ashford, N. A., & Hall, R. P. (2011). The importance of regulation-induced innovation for sustainable development. *Sustainability*, 3(1), 270-292.
- Asian Development Bank. (2016). Key Indicators for Asia and the Pacific 2016 (4th ed.). from <https://www.adb.org/sites/default/files/publication/204091/ki2016.pdf>
- Association, C. D. (2015). CEDA Information Paper. Environmental Monitoring Procedures. from http://www.dredging.org/media/ceda/org/documents/resources/cedaonline/2015-02-ceda_informationpaper-environmental_monitoring_procedures.pdf
- Blanchfield, L., & Lawson, M. L. (2010). *The millennium development goals: the September 2010 UN high-level meeting*: Congressional Research Service.
- Brundtland, G. H. (1987). *Report of the World Commission on environment and development: "our common future."*: United Nations.
- Bryman, A. (2004). Qualitative research on leadership: A critical but appreciative review. *The leadership quarterly*, 15(6), 729-769.
- Bryman, A. (2007). Barriers to integrating quantitative and qualitative research. *Journal of mixed methods research*, 1(1), 8-22.
- Bursa Malaysia. (2018). Sustainability | Bursa Malaysia Corporate. from <http://www.bursamalaysia.com/corporate/sustainability/>
- Carboni, J., & Hodgkinson, J. (2013). Corporate social responsibility and project portfolio management. *PM World J*, 2(6), 1-9.
- Cassen, R. H. (1987). Our common future: report of the World Commission on Environment and Development. *International Affairs*, 64(1), 126-126.
- Char-lee, J. M., Becken, S., & Watt, M. (2016). Learning through a cluster approach: lessons from the implementation of six Australian tourism business sustainability programs. *Journal of cleaner production*, 111, 348-357.
- Chia, K.-W., Ramachandran, S., Ho, J.-A., & Ng, S. S.-I. (2018). Conflicts To Consensus: Stakeholder Perspectives Of Tioman Island Tourism Sustainability. *International Journal of Business & Society*, 19.

- Chomeya, R. (2010). Quality of psychology test between Likert scale 5 and 6 points. *Journal of Social Sciences*, 6(3), 399-403.
- Chong, Y. T., Teo, K. M., & Tang, L. C. (2016). A lifecycle-based sustainability indicator framework for waste-to-energy systems and a proposed metric of sustainability. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 56, 797-809.
- Clune, W. H., & Zehnder, A. J. (2018). The Three Pillars of Sustainability Framework: Approaches for Laws and Governance. *Journal of Environmental Protection*, 9(03), 211.
- Companies Commission Of Malaysia. (2013). Corporate Responsibility: Guidance to Disclosure and Reporting (5th ed.). from www.ssm.com.my
- Companies Commission Of Malaysia. (2018). About SSM. Retrieved October 4, 2018, from http://www.ssm.com.my/Pages/About_SSM/Overview.aspx
- Costanza, R., Daly, L., Fioramonti, L., Giovannini, E., Kubiszewski, I., Mortensen, L. F., Wilkinson, R. (2016). Modelling and measuring sustainable wellbeing in connection with the UN Sustainable Development Goals. *Ecological Economics*, 130, 350-355.
- Cowan, D. M., Dopart, P., Ferracini, T., Sahmel, J., Merryman, K., Gaffney, S., & Paustenbach, D. J. (2010). A cross-sectional analysis of reported corporate environmental sustainability practices. *Regulatory Toxicology and Pharmacology*, 58(3), 524-538.
- Cross, C. L. (2013). Statistical and methodological considerations when using cluster analysis in neuropsychological research *Cluster analysis in neuropsychological research* (pp. 13-35): Springer.
- Dahl, A. L. (2012). Achievements and gaps in indicators for sustainability. *Ecological Indicators*, 17, 14-19.
- Department of Statistics Malaysia. (2017a). Press Release Economic Census 2016 - Mining and Quarrying Sector. from <https://www.dosm.gov.my/v1/index.php?r=column/pdfPrev&id=dVE3UXhFcV kzTFVPVXVWNkt6NDlpUT09>
- Department of Statistics Malaysia. (2017b). Press Release Gross Domestic Product Second Quarter 2017. Retrieved 18 August 2017 from <https://www.dosm.gov.my/v1/index.php>
- Department of Statistics Malaysia. (2017c). Press Release Selected Agricultural Indicators, Malaysia, 2017.
- Department of Statistics Malaysia. (2018a). Background of Department of Statistics Malaysia. Retrieved November 6, 2018, from https://www.dosm.gov.my/v1/index.php?r=column/cone&menu_id=SzdrU0Y2 MIYwbFNxM3JmU2VHRGIOUT09

- Department of Statistics Malaysia. (2018b). Monthly Manufacturing Statistics Malaysia, August 2018. November 7, 2018, from https://www.dosm.gov.my/v1/index.php?r=column/cthemByCat&cat=90&bul_id=c3Q0MUNURzFwVUZhMVg5RWloWnNxUT09&menu_id=SjgwNXdiM0JIT3Q2TDBIWXdKdUVldz09
- Dewhurst, H., & Thomas, R. (2003). Encouraging sustainable business practices in a non-regulatory environment: A case study of small tourism firms in a UK national park. *Journal of Sustainable Tourism*, 11(5), 383-403.
- Dobrovolskienė, N., & Tamošiūnienė, R. (2016). An index to measure sustainability of a business project in the construction industry: Lithuanian case. *Sustainability*, 8(1), 14.
- Druk Green Power Corporation. (2014). Environmental Impact Assessment (Draft) : Environmental Monitoring Report.
- Duić, N., Urbaniec, K., & Huisingh, D. (2015). Components and structures of the pillars of sustainability. *Journal of cleaner production*, 88, 1-12.
- Economic Planning Unit. (2018a). Eleventh Malaysia Plan. Retrieved November 6, 2018, from <http://www.epu.gov.my/en>
- Economic Planning Unit. (2018b). Executive summary of mid-term review of the Eleventh Malaysia Plan. from <http://epu.gov.my/sites/default/files/ExecutiveSummary.pdf>
- Ekman, M., Derrfuss, J., Tittgemeyer, M., & Fiebach, C. J. (2012). Predicting errors from reconfiguration patterns in human brain networks. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 109(41), 16714-16719.
- Elkington, J. (1994). Towards the sustainable corporation: Win-win-win business strategies for sustainable development. *California management review*, 36(2), 90-100.
- Gibson, B., Robert. (2006). Beyond the Pillars: Sustainability Assessment as a Framework for Effective Integration of Social, Economic, and Ecological Considerations in Significant Decisions Making. *Journal of Environmental Assessment Policy and Management*, 8(3), 259-280.
- Gimenez, C., Sierra, V., & Rodon, J. (2012). Sustainable operations: Their impact on the triple bottom line. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 140(1), 149-159.
- Ginevičius, R. (2008). Normalization of quantities of various dimensions. *Journal of business economics and management*(1), 79-86.
- Global Reporting Initiative. (2015). Linking the SDGs and GRI. 6. from https://www.globalreporting.org/Documents/ARCHIVES/resource%20library/SDG_GRI_LInkage.pdf

- Grootheste, V. (1999). Attitudinal survey of voluntary reporting of adverse drug reactions. *British journal of clinical pharmacology*, 48(4), 623-627.
- Harris, M., & Garner, K. (2018). Economic Development Strategies in the United States. In Farazmand, A. (Ed.), *Global Encyclopedia of Public Administration, Public Policy, and Governance* (pp. 1524-1533). Cham: Springer International Publishing.
- Hassana, M. G., Nordina, N., & Asharia, H. (2016). The Impact of Social Well-being on Sustainability Practice among Malaysian Manufacturing Firms.
- Hobson, K., & Essex, S. (2001). Sustainable tourism: A view from accommodation businesses. *Service Industries Journal*, 21(4), 133-146.
- Hunter, C. (1997). Sustainable tourism as an adaptive paradigm. *Annals of Tourism Research*, 24(4), 850-867.
- Hurley, J. (2011). Sustainability and master planned estates: from principles to practice. International Budget. (2015). Change in Transparency Over Time Regional Comparison., from <https://www.internationalbudget.org/wp-content/uploads/OBS2015-CS-Malaysia-English.pdf>
- Jabatan Perdana Menteri. (2010). Government transformation programme *Report. Putrajaya: Prime Ministers Office. doi* (Vol. 10).
- Jassim, R. K., & Jaber, G. (1998). Competitive advantage through the employees. *Research paper, University of Auckland New Zealand*.
- Johnson, A., & Gibson, A. (2014). *Sustainability in engineering design*: Academic Press.
- Joshi, A., Kale, S., Chandel, S., & Pal, D. (2015). Likert scale: Explored and explained. *British Journal of Applied Science & Technology*, 7(4), 396.
- Kelley, K., Clark, B., Brown, V., & Sitzia, J. (2003). Good practice in the conduct and reporting of survey research. *International Journal for Quality in health care*, 15(3), 261-266.
- Khan, R. A., Liew, M. S., & Ghazali, Z. B. (2014). Malaysian construction sector and Malaysia vision 2020: developed nation status. *Procedia-social and behavioral sciences*, 109, 507-513.
- Kim, C., Kim, S., Im, S., & Shin, C. (2006). The effect of attitude and perception on consumer complaint intentions. *Journal of consumer marketing*, 20(4), 352-371.
- Kraatz, J. A., Mitchell, J., Matan, A., & Newman, P. (2015). Rethinking social housing: Efficient, effective and equitable. *Sustainable Built Environment National Research Centre Research Report*, 75.

- Kruser, J. M., Nabozny, M. J., Steffens, N. M., Brasel, K. J., Campbell, T. C., Gaines, M. E., & Schwarze, M. L. (2015). "Best Case/Worst Case" : Qualitative Evaluation of a Novel Communication Tool for Difficult in - the - Moment Surgical Decisions. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*, 63(9), 1805-1811.
- Kruser, J. M., Taylor, L. J., Campbell, T. C., Zelenski, A., Johnson, S. K., Nabozny, M. J., Schwarze, M. L. (2017). "Best case/worst case": training surgeons to use a novel communication tool for high-risk acute surgical problems. *Journal of pain and symptom management*, 53(4), 711-719. e715.
- Kuhlman, T., & Farrington, J. (2010). What is sustainability? *Sustainability*, 2(11), 3436-3448.
- Kui, P. C. F. (2011). ETP Annual Report 2011- Oil, Gas and Energy.
- Lanang, W. N. S. W., Turan, F. M., & Johan, K. (2018). *Incorporating attitudinal parameter in assessing sustainability of Malaysia manufacturing industry*. Paper presented at the IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering.
- Lankoski, L. (2016). Alternative conceptions of sustainability in a business context. *Journal of cleaner production*, 139, 847-857.
- Law, K. M., & Gunasekaran, A. (2012). Sustainability development in high-tech manufacturing firms in Hong Kong: Motivators and readiness. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 137(1), 116-125.
- Lee-Kelley, L. (2006). Locus of control and attitudes to working in virtual teams. *International Journal of Project Management*, 24(3), 234-243.
- Lee, H., Mat Zin, R., & Ahmad Badawi, P. (2014). Malaysian development experience: lessons for developing countries. *Institutions and Economies*, 6(1), 65-81.
- Malaysia External Trade Development Corporation. (2016). Services Sector to Drive Malaysian Economy. Retrieved November 6, 2018, from <http://www.matrade.gov.my/en/jp/archive/3720-services-sector-to-drive-malaysian-economy>
- Maldonado, M. U., Vaz, C. R., & Dias, N. (2014). Innovation Management in Brazilian Smes: Mapping out Innovation Practices and Performance. *IAMOT (International Association for Management of Technology)*.
- Martens, M. L., & de Carvalho, M. M. (2013). An exploratory study of sustainability evaluation in project management. *Product: Management & Development*, 11(2), 111-117.
- Mayer, A. L. (2008). Strengths and weaknesses of common sustainability indices for multidimensional systems. *Environment international*, 34(2), 277-291.

- Ministry of Energy Science Technology Environment & Climate Change. (2018). New and Emerging Technologies in Achieving Sustainable Development Goals. Retrieved November 6, 2018, from <https://www.mestecc.gov.my/web/berita/new-and-emerging-technologies-in-achieving-sustainable-development-goals/>
- Ministry of International Trade and Industry Malaysia. (2016). 25th MITI Report 2016. from <http://portal.miti.gov.my>
- Ministry of Science Technology and the Environment Malaysia. (2002). National Policy on the Environment. from https://www.doe.gov.my/portalv1/wp-content/uploads/2013/01/dasar_alam_sekitar_negara.pdf
- Mintzberg, H. (1980). Structure in 5's: A Synthesis of the Research on Organization Design. *Management science*, 26(3), 322-341.
- Mohamad, M. (1991). Malaysia: the way forward (Vision 2020). *Kuala Lumpur, National Printing Department*.
- Moldan, B., Janoušková, S., & Hák, T. (2012). How to understand and measure environmental sustainability: Indicators and targets. *Ecological Indicators*, 17, 4-13.
- Morgan, R. K. (2012). Environmental impact assessment: the state of the art. *Impact Assessment and Project Appraisal*, 30(1), 5-14.
- Morioka, S. N., & Carvalho, M. M. (2016). Measuring sustainability in practice: exploring the inclusion of sustainability into corporate performance systems in Brazilian case studies. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 136, 123-133.
- Möstl, B., & Engels, K. (2012). The Hydropower Sustainability Assessment Protocol In Practice: Lessons Learned From Its First Application In Europe—A Utility's Perspective: Vienna: Institute for Energy Systems and Thermodynamics.
- Murtagh, F., & Legendre, P. (2014). Ward's hierarchical agglomerative clustering method: which algorithms implement Ward's criterion? *Journal of classification*, 31(3), 274-295.
- Mutisya, J. M. (2015). Strategic Innovation As An Approach To Sustainable Competitive Advantage By Safaricom Limited In Kenya.
- Ness, B., Urbel-Piirsalu, E., Anderberg, S., & Olsson, L. (2007). Categorising tools for sustainability assessment. *Ecological economics*, 60(3), 498-508.
- Nuttall, W. J., Ashley, S. F., & Heffron, R. J. (2017). Compensating for severe nuclear accidents: An expert elucidation. *Process Safety and Environmental Protection*, 112, 131-142.

- Pacheco-López, P., & Thirlwall, A. (2013). A New Interpretation of Kaldor's First Growth Law for Open Developing Countries: School of Economics Discussion Papers.
- Patro, S., & Sahu, K. K. (2015). Normalization: A preprocessing stage. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1503.06462*.
- Rabah, K. (2015). Effects of competitive advantage on organizational effectiveness in higher education institutions: a case of Kabarak University. *Unpublished Thesis*.
- Ramdas, M., & Mohamed, B. (2014). Impacts of tourism on environmental attributes, environmental literacy and willingness to pay: A conceptual and theoretical review. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 144, 378-391.
- Rehana, M., & Khan, M. A. (2007). Quantifying the unquantifiable: Developing quality measures for affective objectives. *Masalah Pendidikan*, 30(2), 19-31.
- Renner, M., & Taylor-Powell, E. (2003). Analyzing qualitative data. *Programme Development & Evaluation, University of Wisconsin-Extension Cooperative Extension*, 25(3), 1-10.
- Ridsdale, D. R., & Noble, B. F. (2016). Assessing sustainable remediation frameworks using sustainability principles. *Journal of environmental management*, 184, 36-44.
- RoopRekha, R., & Perumal, S. (2016). Data Mining Clustering Techniques. 3(2), 16-18.
- Saadatian, O., Haw, C., Mat, S., Sopian, K., Dalman, M., & Salleh, E. (2011). Sustainable development in Malaysia-planning and initiatives. *Recent Researches in Chemistry, Biology, Environment and Culture*, 138-143.
- Sachs, J. D., Schmidt-Traub, G., & Durand-Delacre, D. (2016). Preliminary sustainable development goal (SDG) index and dashboard. *Sustainable Development Solutions Network*, 15.
- Scanlon, A., Kile, R., & Blumstein, B. (2004). *Sustainable hydropower—guidelines, compliance standards and certification*. Paper presented at the United Nations Symposium on Hydropower and Sustainable Development, Beijing.
- Schader, C., Grenz, J., Meier, M. S., & Stolze, M. (2014). Scope and precision of sustainability assessment approaches to food systems. *Ecology & Society*, 19(3).
- Schmithüsen, F. J. (2013). Three hundred years of applied sustainability in forestry. *Working papers/Forest Policy and Forest Economics Department of Forest Sciences. International series*, 64(1).
- SDG Compass. (2015). Inventory of Business Tools. Retrieved November 7, 2018, from <https://sdgcompass.org/business-tools/>

- Shyle, I. (2018). Awareness of Individuals and Businesses in Albania for Sustainable Development. *European Journal of Multidisciplinary Studies*, 3(1), 46-54.
- Silver, C. (2018, Retrieved October 1, 2018). Investopedia. from <https://www.investopedia.com/corp/about.aspx>
- Silvius, A., & Schipper, R. P. (2014). Sustainability in project management: A literature review and impact analysis. *Social Business*, 4(1), 63-96.
- Singh, R. K., Murty, H. R., Gupta, S. K., & Dikshit, A. K. (2012). An overview of sustainability assessment methodologies. *Ecological indicators*, 15(1), 281-299.
- Sogunro, O. A. (2002). Selecting a quantitative or qualitative research methodology: An experience. *Educational Research Quarterly*, 26(1), 3.
- Stiglitz, J. E. (2009). Report by the Commission on the Measurement of Economic Performance and Social Progress. New York.
- Stubbs, W., & Higgins, C. (2014). Integrated reporting and internal mechanisms of change. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*, 27(7), 1068-1089.
- Sustainable Development Solution Network. (2018). Malaysia - SDG Index and Dashboards Report 2018. Retrieved October 31, 2018, from <https://dashboards.sdindex.org/#/MYS>
- Team, T. A. T. E. (2014). Integrated Reporting in Malaysia
- Tenaga Nasional Berhad. (2016). Integrated Annual Report.
- Thai, S. B., Goh, H. H., HengTeh, B., Wong, J., & San Ong, T. (2014). A revisited of Altman z-score model for companies listed in Bursa Malaysia. *International Journal of Business and Social Science*, 5(12).
- Tsakos, G. (2008). Combining normative and psychosocial perceptions for assessing orthodontic treatment needs. *Journal of Dental Education*, 72(8), 876-885.
- Tufinio, S. P., Mooi, H., Ravestijn, W., Bakker, H., & Boorsma, M. (2013). Sustainability In Project Management: Where Are We? *Annals of the Faculty of Engineering Hunedoara*, 11(1), 91-101.
- Turan, F. M., Johan, K., Lanang, W. N. S. W., & Asmanizam, A. (2017). *Assessing Sustainability in Environmental Management: A Case Study in Malaysia Industry*. Paper presented at the IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering.
- United Nations. (2002). Report of the World Summit on Sustainable Development. New York.

- United Nations. (2015a). Sustainable Development Goals. Retrieved November 5, 2018, from <https://www.un.org/sustainabledevelopment/blog/2015/12/sustainable-development-goals-kick-off-with-start-of-new-year/>
- United Nations. (2015b). Transforming our world: The 2030 agenda for sustainable development. *General Assembly 70 session*.
- United Nations. (2018). About the UN. Retrieved October 1, 2018, from <http://www.un.org/en/about-un/>
- United Nations Development Programme. (2018a). Human Development Index (HDI). Retrieved November 5, 2018, from <http://hdr.undp.org/en/content/human-development-index-hdi>
- United Nations Development Programme. (2018b). *Human Development Indices and Indicators*. New York.
- United Nations Development Programme. (2018c). Sustainable Development Goals. from <http://www.undp.org/content/undp/en/home/sustainable-development-goals.html>
- Voeten, J., & Naudé, W. (2013). Internal regulation of innovation externalities for development: Lessons from Vietnam. *no. July*, 1-18.
- Waas, T., Hugé, J., Block, T., Wright, T., Benitez-Capistros, F., & Verbruggen, A. (2014). Sustainability assessment and indicators: Tools in a decision-making strategy for sustainable development. *Sustainability*, 6(9), 5512-5534.
- Waring, T. M., Kline, M. A., Brooks, J., Goff, S., Gowdy, J., Jansen, M., Jacquet, J. (2015). A multilevel evolutionary framework for sustainability analysis. *Ecology and Science*, 20(2).
- Wierzbicki, S. (2014). Monitoring of structure of industrial building on the example of the WiSeNeMONIT system. *Structure and Environment*, 6.
- Yada, K. (2006). CODIRO: A new system for obtaining data concerning consumer behavior based on data factors of high interest determined by the analyst. International Journal of Mobile Communications. *International Journal of Mobile Communications*, 57.
- Yeoh, A. (2015). Malaysia: Facing the Challenges of Sustainable Development - The Asia Foundation. Retrieved March 10, 2019, from <https://asiafoundation.org/2015/10/07/malaysia-facing-the-challenges-of-sustainable-development/>
- Zaller, J., & Feldman, S. (2015). A simple theory of the survey response: Answering questions versus revealing preferences. *American journal of political science*, 36(3), 579-616.

Zorio, A., García - Benau, M. A., & Sierra, L. (2013). Sustainability development and the quality of assurance reports: Empirical evidence. *Business strategy and the environment*, 22(7), 484-500.